DP(16)10322:1





The implementation of greening in Hungary

Hungarian Chamber of Agriculture

2nd December 2016





- Total area of Hungary: 9,3 million ha, of which:
 - <u>Agricultural land -</u> for SAPS 4,95 million ha
- <u>Utilized agricultural area</u>:
 - 80 % arable land,
 - 14 % grassland,
 - 6 % kitchen gardens, orchards and vineyards



Overview

- SAPS 145€/ha
- Greening 81€/ha
- National permanent grassland ratio
- No equivalent practices
- No regional/collective EFA approach
 - Collective EFA in progress (Polish example)
- No designation of further environmental sensitive permanent grassland except for Natura2000



Permanent Grassland

- Delineated in LPIS
- Establishment of PG location and conversion/ploughing by Paying Agency (Agricultural and Rural Development Agency):
 - Single application data
 - LPIS data
 - OTSC data
- Farmers shall not convert or plough Natura 2000 PG without permission (Nature protection authorities).



Maintenance of PG

• PG must be:

 kept clear of weeds (especially certain dangerous weeds: Cuscuta spp., Ambrosia artemisiifolia, Asclepias syriaca).

<u>**Clear of weeds:</u>** if the farmer, using either physical, chemical or biological means, prevented weeds from being present on the parcel in such a density that would cause competition between the crop and the weed either on root-level or by overshading one another.</u>

- clear of undesired woody vegetation
- in good condition, i.e. should never be overgrazed
- those PG areas that are not grazed on a regular basis should be clear-mowed at least once each year before 31 August



PG challenges

- single applications of 2015 *confusion:* many farmers declared fallow land instead of permanent grassland
- no reconverted land in 2015
- - problems with LPIS new landowner:

no information on layer – no information on use – permanent crops – later information that this land has been used as fallow land/grassland – **CONSEQUENCE** reconverted land

Institute of Geodesy Cartography and Remote Sensing (FÖMI): difficulties with delineation – without the layers more incorrect application



Ecological Focus Areas

- Hungary is among the MS who has introduced the most EFA elements (from 19 EFA elements 18 EFA elements, except stone walls). <u>Most common ones:</u>
 - 1. land laying fallow (from 1 January 31 August)
 - 2. nitrogen fixing crops (bean, pea, alfalfa, lupin, clover) certified seed
 - 3. catch crops no minimum duration on field,
 certified seed or filling farming book



Ecological Focus Areas

Landscape features protected under GAEC (delineated in LPIS)

- Terraces
- Isolated trees
- Trees in group, field copses
- Ponds
- Cumanian mound, Shadoof
- Buffer strips along water courses

Landscape features outside GAEC

- Wooded strips
- Trees in line
- Field margins
- Diches

Other EFA

- Land lying fallow
- Hectares of agroforestry
- Strips of eligible hectares along forest edges
- Short rotation coppice
- Afforested areas
- Catch crops/green cover
- Nitrogen-fixing crops

Ecological Focus Areas EFA elements - 2015

EFA elements	Net claimed area (ha)	Number of farmers applying for EFA	farmers % (SUM=172 000)
Land lying fallow	121 711	19 492	11,33%
Nitrogen fixing crops	213 810	16 086	9,35%
Catch crops	157 262	10 453	6,08%
Wooden strips	2 011	2 345	1,36%
Isolated trees	0	309	0,18%
Trees in line	202	680	0,40%
Trees in group	221	1 123	0,65%
Field margins	3 950	1 996	1,16%
Ponds	35	117	0,07%
Water courses	934	1 795	1,04%
Cumanian mound	116	198	0,12%
Shadoof	0	24	0,01%
Buffer strips along	0	102	0,06%
water courses			
Hectares of agroforestry	1 580	1 153	0,67%
with production			
Hectares of agroforestry	289	263	0,15%
without production			
Short rotation coppice	579	35	0,02%
Afforested areas	4 824	388	0,23%
	507 524	56 559	33,27%



- wide range of EFA elements Hungarian farmers do not make use of the possibility
- *landscape features protected under GAEC* less "apetite"
- *landscape features outside GAEC* only for safety reasons
- catch crops too many notification obligation



- introduced only by Hungary
- rule of "disposal" are to be applied in the case of landscape features adjacent to the arable land of the holding (wooded strips, trees in line, field margin, ditches).
- Landscape features are not at the disposal of the farmer if the owner/property manager denies it.
- Farmer has to protect the "area at disposal" against dangerous weeds, eliminate solid waste and fight against rodents.



Crop diversification

- HU: 1st May 30th September
- The duration on field is established on the base of dates notified in the single aid application and on the spot controls.
- No major problems no penalties



ECA special report

- 22 November 2016 EU climate action: serious risk that 20 % spending target will not be met, say Auditors
- "The introduction of a Green Payment does not guarantee a significant change of the contribution of direct payments to climate. This new scheme, while having a certain climate action impact, rests in practice largely on already existing agricultural practices"



ECA special report

HCA opinion

The problem: greening = administrative requirements

• The rules of the greening not realistic

What is needed?

Environmental sustainability + realistic rules



Thank you for your attention!